FY 2024 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Agency:	Program:
Contract Manager:	Contract is a Lead Agency with Collaborative Subcontractors Yes No

													1 _	1
Contract Activities	Comments/F	Explan	ations	shoul	d refle	ct best	pract	ices ar	ıd plar	ıs to in	nprove	Max Pts	Pts Awarded	Rater Initial
I. Service Level Achievement:	Performance	on eac	h cont	racted	outcon	ne is sc	ored so	eparate	ly and	summ	ed.	12	Prelim	
# of participants somed are in	Service Level	The state of the s							(Prorated					
(# of participants served are in compliance with # contracted to		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Q1-Q3)	
serve)	95%-100% of target	12.0	6.0	4.0	3.0	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.2		=	
	93%-94% of target	10	5	3.3	2.5	2.0	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.0		Final	
	91%-92% of target	8.0	4.0	2.7	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8		(Q1-Q4)	
	89%-90% of target	6.0	3.0	2.0	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6		=	
	87%-88% of target	4.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4			
	85%-86% of target	2.0	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2			
	Below 85% of target	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	Enter Comme	ents He	ere:											

FY 2024 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Contract Activities	Comments/I	Explan	ations	shoul	d refle	ct best	pract	ices an	ıd plar	ns to in	nprove	Max Pts	Pts Awarded	Rater Initials
2. Outcomes or Contract												26	Final	
Deliverables:	Performance on each contracted outcome is scored separately and summed.								ed.		(Q1-Q4)			
(% of contracted outcome results	Service Level	Service Level # of Outcomes												
(% of contracted outcome results achieved) Number of outcomes used to score:		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
	95%-100% of target	26	13	8.67	6.5	5.2	4.33	3.71	3.25	2.89	2.6			
	93%-94% of target	23.4	11.7	7.8	5.85	4.68	3.9	3.34	2.93	2.6	2.34			
Check here if baseline data	92% of target	20.8	10.4	6.93	5.2	4.16	3.47	2.97	2.6	2.31	2.08			
	91% of target	18.2	9.1	6.07	4.55	4.55	3.03	2.6	2.28	2.02	1.82			
	90% of target	15.6	7.8	5.2	3.9	3.12	2.6	2.23	1.95	1.73	1.56			
	89% of target	13	6.5	4.33	3.25	2.6	2.17	1.86	1.63	1.44	1.3			
	88% of target	10.4	5.2	3.47	2.6	2.08	1.73	1.49	1.3	1.16	1.04			
	87% of target	7.8	3.9	2.6	1.95	1.56	1.3	1.11	0.98	0.87	0.78			
	86% of target	5.2	2.6	1.73	1.3	1.04	0.87	0.74	0.65	0.58	0.52			
	85% of target	2.6	1.3	0.87	0.65	0.52	0.43	0.37	0.33	0.29	0.26			
	Below 85% of target	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	Enter Comm	ents He	ere:											

FY 2024 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Contract Activities		Max	Pts	Rater
	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve	Pts	Awarded	Initials
3. Data Integrity Check	Check One:	15		
Check here if data was corrected Date:	(Accuracy of Sample = # Correct Data Elements/Total # Data Elements) 95% - 100% accuracy (12 points) 90% -94% accuracy (8 points) Less than 90% accuracy (0 points)			
	Score 1 point for each: Administration of all measures follows measurement tool protocol/guidelines or program evaluation procedures (1 point)			
	Completed measures contain all necessary information for participant identification (1 point)			
	Completed measures contain all necessary information including documentation for accurate scoring (1 point) Enter Comments Here:			
4. Fiscal Reporting and Reimbursements (through Q3): (Deduct from total points allowable the % of those reimbursement forms and budget to actual reports received without accurate information and budget to actual reports not received on time by total number of reports received).		9		
5. Fiscal Compliance with General Terms and Conditions (activities through July 31st):	 Enter Comments Here: Timely notification of loss of other Program funds. (1 point) CBHC prior approval for spending outside of the budget. (0, 1, or 2 points) CBHC obtained contract notifications or pre-approved contract changes. (0, 1, or 2 points) Most current agency audit submitted on time (1 point). 	6		
	Enter Comments Here:			

FY 2024 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Part II

Contract Activities		Max	Pts	Rater
	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve	Pts	Awarded	Initials
6. The provider submits reimbursement requests on a monthly basis and in a timely fashion. (activities through July 31st):	The provider is consistently more than one month behind in submitting reimbursement requests. (0 points) or The provider consistently submits a reimbursement request for a given month by the last day of the following month. (1 point) or The provider consistently submits a reimbursement request for a given month by the 15 th day of the following month. (2 points) Enter Comments Here:	2		

Score Summary	Additional Comments	Max	Pts	Rater
		Pts	Awarded	Initials
Preliminary Points Part II	Special Note: Omit Section 2 when scoring.	44		
	Enter Additional Comments Here:			
Total Score from Part I	Part I Score	30		
Total Preliminary Score	Part I + Preliminary Part II	74		
Final Points Part II	Enter Additional Comments Here:	70		
Total Final Score	Part I + Part II	100		

Preliminary Recommendation

 Continue funding effective October 1 (Total score result is 64 points or higher)
 Continue funding effective October 1 – may include a contract modification(s) (Total score is between 53 and 63 points)
Continue funding effective October 1 – may include Provider Improvement Plan process (Total score is less than 53 points)

Final Recommendation

- Continue funding effective October 1 (Total score result is 85 points or higher)
- Continue funding effective October 1 may include contract modification(s) (Total score is between 70 and 84 points)
- Continue funding effective October 1 may include Provider Improvement Plan process (*Total score is less than 70 points*)
- Other Determination

FY 2024 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

CBHC Review by 9/30	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve
7. Agency Audit (for direct contracts and lead agencies only)	Check one (If opinion status not selected, comments required): Unmodified opinion with no comments or findings Unmodified opinion with comments Unmodified opinion with findings Qualified opinion Enter Comments Here:
8. ASO Provider Monitoring Check here if not applicable	Indicate "yes" or "no" for each item: Adherence to ASO Policies and Procedures Service or support tied back to a family support plan. Indicate % Documentation found in client file to substantiate ASO expenditures Funds returned if any. Indicate \$ amount If any of these objectives were not met please briefly describe: Enter Comments Here:
9. Provider Improvement Plan	Check one: Not Applicable Completed Successfully Not Completed Successfully In Progress (continuing into next fiscal year)
Continue funding effective Octo Continue funding effective Octo	r Improvement Plan results change the continuation funding recommendation? Yes No

FY 2024 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Part II Projected Funding Allocation

FY 2024 Contract Amount: \$	ASO Allocation: \$	
FY 2025 Continuation Contract Amount: \$	ASO Allocation: \$	
Explain any amount difference including impact to program	n or if contract is ending (i.e. changes to se	ervice levels, outcomes and/or ASO allocations)
Enter Comments Here:		
Type of Contract: Investment (more than \$800,000)	☐ Uniting (\$150,000 – \$799,999)	Leading (\$10,000 - \$149,999)
Contract/Program Manager Signature and Date:		
Director of Finance Signature and Date:		
Director of Programs Signature and Date:		

FY 2024 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Contract Activities through 9/30	For Lead Agent with funded sub-contracts only (calculate separate	ely fron	n final sco	ore):
Collaborative	Score 0 or 1 for each: Programmatic Subtotal:	Max	Pts	Rater
Functioning / Lead	Cooperation – Evidence that partnership improves service delivery.	10	Awarded	Initial
Agent Compliance:	Enter Example Here:		Awarueu	Imuai
	Coordination – Combined resources to maximize operational efficiencies.			
Check if not applicable	Enter Example Here:			
\square	Collaboration – Collectively applying a pool of seamless resources to meet family			
	outcomes (including but not limited to collaborative staff training).			
	Enter Example Here:			
	Sharing staff across partnership to meet program needs and goals.			
	Enter Example Here:			
	Subcontractor personnel included in CBHC contract negotiations.			
	Score 5 if yes for ALL items, 0 if not. Place "X" if done. Contractual Subtotal:			
	Lead informs subcontractors of CBHC notifications, requests or meeting notices.			
	Lead agent and subcontractor representatives attend CBHC training and/or meetings.			
	Subcontract agreements were executed and included all applicable special conditions			
	and CBHC General Terms and Conditions as an attachment.			
	Subcontract agreements submitted to CBHC within 30 days of contract execution.			
	Lead agent completed sub-contractor(s) fiscal site visits.			
	Enter Comments Here:			
Naintain Lead Agency Sta	ttus: YES NO if no, explain: Maintain All Sub-Contract Status: YES	NO if r	o, explain:	
Enter Comments Here:				
Score of 7 -10 indica Score of 6 or below i	tes good standing ndicates need to address areas of improvement with a collaborative action plan			