# Part II

Agency:

Program:

Contract Manager:

Contract is a Lead Agency with Collaborative Subcontractors 🗌 Yes 🛛 No

<b>Contract Activities</b>	Comments/F	Explan	ations	shoul	d refle	ct best	pract	ices an	d plar	ıs to in	nprove	Max Pts	Pts Awarded	Rater Initials
1. Service Level Achievement:	Performance on each contracted outcome is scored separately and summed.								ed.	12	Prelim			
	Service Level						tcomes	-	-				(Prorated	
(# of participants served are in compliance with contract)		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		Q1-Q3)	
compliance with contracty	95%-100% of target	12.0	6.0	4.0	3.0	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.2		=	
	93%-94% of target	10	5	3.3	2.5	2.0	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.0			
	91%-92% of target	8.0	4.0	2.7	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8		Final (Q1-Q4)	
	89%-90% of target	6.0	3.0	2.0	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6		=	
	87%-88% of target	4.0	2.0	1.3	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4			
	85%-86% of target	2.0	1.0	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2			
	Below 85% of target	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	Enter Comme	ents He	ere:											

												3.6	D.	
<b>Contract Activities</b>	Comments/H	Tynlan	ations	choul	d noflo	at bast	nroot		d nlar	na ta in	nnovo	Max	Pts	Rater
	Comments/r	explan	ations	snoul	u rene	ct besi	prace	ices an	u pian	is to m	nprove	Pts	Awarded	Initials
2. Outcomes or Contract														
Deliverables:	Performance on each contracted outcome is scored separately and summed.							ed.		(Q1-Q4)				
(% of contracted outcome results	Service Level					# of Ou	itcomes						=	
achieved)		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		_	
Number of outcomes used to score:	95%-100% of target	26	13	8.67	6.5	5.2	4.33	3.71	3.25	2.89	2.6			
i tumber of outcomes used to score.	93%-94% of target	23.4	11.7	7.8	5.85	4.68	3.9	3.34	2.93	2.6	2.34			
Check here if baseline data	92% of target	20.8	10.4	6.93	5.2	4.16	3.47	2.97	2.6	2.31	2.08			
	91% of target	18.2	9.1	6.07	4.55	4.55	3.03	2.6	2.28	2.02	1.82			
	90% of target	15.6	7.8	5.2	3.9	3.12	2.6	2.23	1.95	1.73	1.56			
	89% of target	13	6.5	4.33	3.25	2.6	2.17	1.86	1.63	1.44	1.3			
	88% of target	10.4	5.2	3.47	2.6	2.08	1.73	1.49	1.3	1.16	1.04			
	87% of target	7.8	3.9	2.6	1.95	1.56	1.3	1.11	0.98	0.87	0.78			
	86% of target	5.2	2.6	1.73	1.3	1.04	0.87	0.74	0.65	0.58	0.52			
	85% of target	2.6	1.3	0.87	0.65	0.52	0.43	0.37	0.33	0.29	0.26			
	Below 85% of target	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
	Enter Comme	ents He	ere:											

# Part II

Contract Activities		Max	Pts	Rater
	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve	Pts	Awarded	Initials
<i>3.</i> Data Integrity Check	Check One:	15		
Check here if data was corrected Date:	<ul> <li>(Accuracy of Sample = # Correct Data Elements/Total # Data Elements)</li> <li>95% - 100% accuracy (12 points)</li> <li>90% -94% accuracy (8 points)</li> <li>Less than 90% accuracy (0 points)</li> </ul>			
	Score 1 point for each: Administration of all measures follows measurement tool protocol/guidelines or program evaluation procedures (1 point) Completed measures contain all necessary information for participant identification (1 point) Completed measures contain all necessary information including documentation for accurate scoring (1 point) Enter Comments Here:			
<ul> <li>4. Fiscal Reporting and Reimbursements (through Q3): (Deduct from total points allowable the % of those reimbursement forms and budget to actual reports received without accurate information and budget to actual reports not received on time by total number of reports received).</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reimbursement requests contain accurate information. (0, 1, 2 or 3 points)</li> <li>Budget to actual reports were received by the deadline. (0 or 1 point)</li> <li>Budget to actual reports contain accurate information. (0 or 1 point)</li> <li>N/A Next FY budget was submitted for contract by deadline. (0, 1 or 2 points)</li> <li>N/A Next FY budget submitted in accordance with instructions and without major revisions. (0, 1 or 2 points)</li> </ul>	5		
<ul> <li>Compliance with General Terms and Conditions (activities through July 31<sup>st</sup>):</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Enter Comments Here: N/A - Contract ended 9/30/2024</li> <li>Timely notification of loss of other Program funds. (1 point)</li> <li>CBHC prior approval for spending outside of the budget. (0, 1, or 2 points)</li> <li>CBHC obtained contract notifications or pre-approved contract changes.</li> <li>(0, 1, or 2 points)</li> <li>Most current agency audit submitted on time (1 point).</li> <li>Enter Comments Here:</li> </ul>	6		

## Part II

Contract Activities		Max	Pts	Rater
	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve	Pts	Awarded	Initials
<ul> <li>6. The provider submits reimbursement requests on a monthly basis and in a timely fashion. (activities through July 31<sup>st</sup>):</li> </ul>	The provider is consistently more than one month behind in submitting reimbursement requests. (0 points) or The provider consistently submits a reimbursement request for a given month by the last day of the following month. (1 point) or The provider consistently submits a reimbursement request for a given month by the 15 <sup>th</sup> day of the following month. (2 points) <i>Enter Comments Here</i> :	2		

### Part II

Score Summary	Additional Comments	Max	Pts	Rater
		Pts	Awarded	Initials
Preliminary Points Part II	Special Note: Omit Section 2 when scoring.	40		
	Enter Additional Comments Here: Contract ended 9/30/2024.			
Total Score from Part I	Part I Score	30		
Total Preliminary Score	Part I + Preliminary Part II Scores based on maximum score of 70	70		
Final Points Part II	Enter Additional Comments Here: Scores based on Max Pts of 66	66		
Total Final Score	Part I + Part II Scores based on maximum score of 96	96		

#### Preliminary Recommendation (points adjusted to reflect maximum score of 70 points)

- Continue funding effective October 1 (Total score result is 60 points or higher)
- Continue funding effective October 1 may include a contract modification(s) (Total score is between 50 and 59 points)
- Continue funding effective October 1 may include Provider Improvement Plan process (*Total score is less than 49 points*)

#### Final Recommendation (points adjusted to reflect maximum score of 96 points)

- \_\_\_\_ Continue funding effective October 1 (Total score result is **81** points or higher)
- Continue funding effective October 1 may include contract modification(s) (Total score is between 66 and 80 points)
- Continue funding effective October 1 may include Provider Improvement Plan process (Total score is less than 66 points)
- Other Determination

# Part II

CBHC Review by 9/30	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve
7. Agency Audit (for direct contracts and lead agencies only)	Check one (If opinion status not selected, comments required):
8. ASO Provider Monitoring Check here if <b>not</b> applicable □	Indicate "yes" or "no" for each item:
9. Provider Improvement Plan	Check one:        Not Applicable        Completed Successfully        Not Completed Successfully        In Progress (continuing into next fiscal year)

#### Did Agency Audit, ASO or Provider Improvement Plan results change continuation funding recommendation? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No

- Continue funding effective October 1
- Continue funding effective October 1 may include contract modification(s)
- Continue funding effective October 1 may include Provider Improvement Plan process
- Other Determination

Enter Comments Here:

# **Part II Projected Funding Allocation**

FY 2024 Contract Amount: \$	ASO Allocation: \$	
FY 2025 Continuation Contract Amount: \$	ASO Allocation: \$	
Explain any amount difference including impact to program	n or if contract is ending (i.e. changes to s	ervice levels, outcomes and/or ASO allocations)
Enter Comments Here:		
<b>Type of Contract</b> : Investment (more than \$800,000)	Uniting (\$75,000 – \$799,999)	Leading (\$5,000 - \$74,999)
Contract/Program Manager Signature and Date:		
Director of Finance Signature and Date:		
Director of Programs Signature and Date:		

## Part II

Contract Activities through 9/30	For Lead Agent with funded sub-contracts only (calculate separate	ely fron	n final sco	ore):
Collaborative	Score 0 or 1 for each: Programmatic Subtotal:	Max	Pts	Rater
functioning / Lead	<u>Cooperation – Evidence that partnership improves service delivery.</u>	10	Awarded	Initial
Agent Compliance:	Enter Example Here:		1100 aca	Initia
	<u>Coordination</u> – Combined resources to maximize operational efficiencies.			
Check if <b>not</b> applicable	Enter Example Here:			
	Collaboration – Collectively applying a pool of seamless resources to meet family			
	outcomes (including but not limited to collaborative staff training).			
	Enter Example Here:			
	Sharing staff across partnership to meet program needs and goals.			
	Enter Example Here:			
	Subcontractor personnel included in CBHC contract negotiations.			
	Score 5 if yes for ALL items, 0 if not. Place "X" if done. Contractual Subtotal:			
	Lead informs subcontractors of CBHC notifications, requests or meeting notices.			
	Lead agent and subcontractor representatives attend CBHC training and/or meetings.			
	Subcontract agreements were executed and included all applicable special conditions			
	and CBHC General Terms and Conditions as an attachment.			
	Subcontract agreements submitted to CBHC within 30 days of contract execution.			
	Lead agent completed sub-contractor(s) fiscal site visits.			
	Enter Comments Here:			
Jaintain Lead Agency Sta	atus: YES NO if no, explain: Maintain All Sub-Contract Status: YES	NO if n	o, explain:	1

Enter Comments Here:

Score of 7 -10 indicates good standing
 Score of 6 or below indicates need to address areas of improvement with a collaborative action plan